

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

1752

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 6376 12/09/2003 Lilia P. Burleva 85754JLT 10/731,680 EXAMINER 7590 12/08/2005 CHEA, THORL Paul A. Leipold Patent Legal Staff PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Eastman Kodak Company

343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201 DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ap	Application Number		

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/731,680	BURLEVA ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
 Throl Chea	1752	

**Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC** 

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revi	ew filed <u>10-28-05</u> .
1. The Request – The Request is improper and reason(s):	d a conference will not be held for the following
<ul> <li>☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurr</li> <li>☐ The request does not include reasons why a rev</li> <li>☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre</li> <li>☐ Other:</li> </ul>	iew is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run fro the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Noti	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interference held. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decirunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whicheve appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based up of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal sion, or the balance of the two-month time period yer is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the claic Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	m(s) is as follows:
3. ☑ Allowable application – A conference has been had Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ <b>Reopen Prosecution</b> – A conference has been he action will be mailed. No further action is required by ap	
All participants:	
C 14 11 14 20	Ryu
(1) Cynthia H. Kelly. (ynth Kelly)	(3) Robert Warden.
(2) Thorl Chea.	(4) .